

REMARKS

This Application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Official Action mailed February 23, 2006. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and favorable action in this Application.

Claims 1-8, 10-16, and 18-29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodkin, et al. in view of Lambert, et al. Independent Claims 1 and 24 recite in general an ability to receive at a data center manager a data change message from a trigger associated with a data source, the data change message being generated in response to a change in the content of the data, and generating an expiration command at the data center manager in response to the data change message. By contrast, the Rodkin, et al. patent is directed to providing address updates to links for text files as the text files are relocated to other address links. However, the Rodkin, et al. patent has no capability to identify whether the content of the text files have been changed as opposed to changes to the address link to the text files. Moreover, the Rodkin, et al. patent does not receive any indication that a content of a text file has been changed. Thus, the Rodkin, et al. patent fails to receive a data change message generated by a trigger in response to a change in the content of the data being addressed. In addition, the Lambert, et al. fails to provide or receive any indication that the content of a data item has been changed. Moreover, neither the Rodkin, et al. nor Lambert, et al. patents provide an ability to generate an expiration command at the data center manager in response to the data change message since there is no data change message generated in response to a change in the content of a data content item disclosed in either of these patents. Thus, the structure that would

result from placing the retrieval of non-cached content of the Lambert, et al. patent into the address link update scheme of the Rodkin, et al. patent would still lack an ability to receive a data change message generated in response to a change in the content of a data content item and generation of an expiration command in response to the data change message as provided by the claimed invention. Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1-8, 10-16, and 18-29 are patentably distinct from the proposed Rodkin, et al. - Lambert, et al. combination.

CONCLUSION

Applicant has made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For at least the foregoing reasons and other reasons clearly apparent, Applicant respectfully requests full allowance of all pending claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments associated with this Application to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker Botts L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Attorneys for Applicant

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Charles S. Fish', is written over the printed name.

Charles S. Fish

Reg. No. 35,870

April 24, 2006

CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:

2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600

Dallas, TX 75201-2980

(214) 953-6507

Customer Number: 05073